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Abstract

Although rare, cardiac myxomas are the most common primary cardiac tumor with an incidence of 0.5 per million per year. Previous case series have reported on the epidemiology, clinical characteristics, diagnostic technologies and therapeutic outcomes that were evident at the time of publication. Because of the evolution of cardiac diagnostic (particularly imaging) and therapeutic options, we theorized that cardiac myxomas would be found at an earlier stage and this would result in improved therapeutic outcomes. Accordingly, a new case series (Current Group) is being reported and compared to historical case series that were published after widespread availability of echocardiography (after 1985). The case series were further divided into those published before (group 3), after (group 1) or overlapping (group 2) the proliferation of chest and cardiac imaging technologies that occurred in the mid-1990s. All 28 patients with pathologically proven cardiac myxoma at Florida Hospital Orlando from April 2007 to August 2013 (the current Group) were studied. For comparative review, PubMed was searched for case series of cardiac myxoma from January 1, 1985 to December 31, 2013, and studies that met inclusion criteria were divided into three groups: Group 1: cases collected exclusively after 1995 (10 articles); Group 2: those not belonging to group 1 or 3 (23 articles). The gender (2:1 female predominance), age and myxoma location (85% left atrium) have not changed significantly over time. However, the tumor size has declined from 5.3 cm to 3.3 cm. Asymptomatic cases (found unexpectedly) have increased to 21% of the Current Group while fever, heart failure and embolism have declined over time. Echocardiography has become the dominant diagnostic technology, followed by CT. Catheter-based diagnostic imaging has declined substantially. Surgical mortality and tumor recurrence have remained low but unchanged. In summary, cardiac myxoma has trended to smaller, more frequently asymptomatic tumors found mostly by echocardiography or CT, but this has not altered surgical mortality or recurrence.

Introduction

Myxoma is the most common non-malignant primary cardiac tumor with an estimated incidence of 0.5 per million per year [1]. Before the introduction of invasive angiographic examination of the heart, cardiac myxomas were generally diagnosed at autopsy or rarely during cardiac surgery being done for other reasons [2-5]. The first cardiac myxoma was diagnosed by angiography in 1951 and the first surgical tumor removal was performed in 1954 [3, 6, 7]. Although 70% of cardiac myxoma cases were still being found at autopsy or during operation in the late 1950’s and early 1960’s, clinical cases diagnosed by cardiac angiography were gradually increasing [8, 9]. With the advent of echocardiographic techniques in 1960s, the diagnostic accuracy of cardiac myxomas was improved greatly. Echocardiography made the diagnosis of most cardiac myxoma cases possible during life, allowing for subsequent potentially curative surgical removal [10]. With the development of thoracic computed tomography (CT) in the early 1980s and magnetic resonance imaging (MRT) of cardiac structure in the late 1980s, these techniques have been applied to the detection of cardiac tumors, although echocardiography has remained the primary method of diagnosis of cardiac myxoma [11, 12].

As imaging utilization proliferated through the middle of 1990s [13-16] and on to today, cardiac as well as other neoplasms have been discovered. Many of these neoplasms were unexpected and/or asymptomatic. Asymptomatic or unexpected (incidental) neoplasms may possibly differ in their epidemiological, clinical and/or pathological characteristics as well as their potential for safety of resection. In order to examine the use of modern imaging technologies, as applied to cardiac myxomas, we have assembled a single institution retrospective case series of cardiac myxomas, we have assembled a single institution retrospective case series of cardiac myxomas.
myxomas discovered and resected from 2007-2013. This case series (Current Group) was evaluated for method of discovery, clinical characteristics, and epidemiology and then compared with previous large case series in the literature. With this study, we hope to see the evolution of diagnostic technologies as applied to the detection of atrial myxoma, and to determine whether the natural history or treatment effectiveness of atrial myxoma has changed.

Methods

Following Institutional Review Board approval, all 28 patients with pathologically proven cardiac myxoma at Florida Hospital Orlando from April 2007 to August 2013 were studied. The patients’ medical records were reviewed, and data about clinical presentation, diagnostic methods and clinical course were collected. Following collection, data were de-identified for analysis. These dates were chosen because 2007 represented the beginning of electronic medical records use at Florida Hospital. Myxoma size was taken from pathology records. For comparative review, PubMed was searched from January 1985 to January 2014 by using the following keywords: “cardiac myxoma”, and studies published in English were included. The only inclusion criterion was a case series of cardiac myxoma with more than 10 cases. Articles were excluded if: 1) clinical and pathological data were not reported; 2) they were published only in abstract form; 3) they were reviews, editorials or comments; 4) they were published only in non-English language; 5) a full article was not available. Figure 1 displays the flow diagram of study selection. Our initial search yielded 3347 publications from January 1 1985 to December 31, 2013. After screening the titles and abstracts of all studies, 206 potentially relevant articles were selected for further screening. Eventually, 64 studies met the inclusion criterion and did not meet the exclusion criteria. In order to see changes over time in method of discovery, clinical characteristics, and epidemiology, these 64 studies were divided into three groups: Group 1: cases collected exclusively after 1995 (10 articles); Group 3: cases collected exclusively before 1995 (31 articles); and Group 2: those not belonging to group 1 or 3 (23 articles). We chose 1995 as the year for dividing the groups since imaging utilization started to increase exponentially around that time [13-16]. A full listing of all articles included in the study is contained in a supplemental table. Data from tables in each of the 64 articles were combined in the groups to prepare Table 1-4. Frequencies were simply summed. Means were weighted by frequency. When available, standard deviations were combined (after weighting) for statistical analysis [17]. Frequency tables were analyzed by Pearson’s Chi-Square test of independence using SPSS (version 21). A Two-sided P value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Means were compared using one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s pairwise comparison. Because many articles, especially in group 3, did not report variance information, statistical analysis of means data was limited to articles reporting variances.

Results

The Current Group contained 28 subjects, 20 female (71.5%) and 8 males (28.5%) with mean age 61.2 years (range, 41-86) (Table 1). Sixty one percent of subjects were between 51 and 70 years old. The mean age of the Current Group is statistically significantly higher than the pooled mean age of Groups 1 and 3 (One-way ANOVA p<0.001, Tukey’s pairwise comparison p<0.05 compared to Groups 1 and 3). This finding may simply reflect the relatively small size of the Current Group. The mean ages of groups 1-3 were similar when all cases were included (mean age) or restricted to those studies reporting variance information (pooled mean age).

The frequency of females in the Current Group (71.5%) was slightly higher than previous reports, which ranged from 63.5 to 66.1% (Table 1). Of 28 subjects in the Current Group, 24 (85.7%) had left atrial myxomas and 4 (14.3%) had right atrial myxomas, which was similar to previous studies (X²=9.6, p=0.143) (Table 1). The average size of the tumor in the Current Group was 3.3 cm. The mean size of the Current Group was statistically significantly smaller than the pooled mean of group 3 (ANOVA, p<0.001, Tukey pairwise comparison p<0.05) compared to Groups 1 and 3). This finding may simply reflect the relatively small size of the Current Group. The mean ages of groups 1-3 were similar when all cases were included (mean age) or restricted to those studies reporting variance information (pooled mean age).

The major presenting symptoms and clinical features are summarized in table 2. In the Current Group, at time of diagnosis, 6 patients were asymptomatic (21.4%, all were in the left atrium), which is much higher than previous reports. The percentage of asymptomatic cases at time of diagnosis has significant increased over time (5.0% in group 1, 3.2% in group 2, 2.0 % in group 3, Table 2). Of the 6 asymptomatic cases in the Current Group, the largest myxoma was 3.1 cm in diameter and the mean size was 2.2 cm. Of the asymptomatic cases, 3 were first diagnosed by
transthoracic echocardiography (TTE), 2 by CT and 1 by MRI. The diagnosis of myxomas in these patients was made incidentally. In the symptomatic cases in the Current Group, dizziness/syncope was the most common symptom (28.6%), followed by dyspnea (25.0%) and chest pain (21.4%). Other cardiac presenting symptoms included palpitation (3 patients, 10.7%) and heart failure (1 patient, 3.6%). Three patients (10.7%) presented with stroke/TIA like symptoms. Another 3 patients presented with systemic symptoms: fatigue (2 patient, 7.1%) and fever (1 patient, 3.6%). As shown in table 2, the pattern of presenting symptoms over time has changed significantly (X²=235, p<0.001). Heart failure and embolic phenomena in particular have decreased over time (Table 2).

In the Current Group, 21 (75.0%) of myxomas were initially diagnosed by TTE (19 cases) or transesophageal echocardiogram (TEE) (2 cases), 5 (17.8%) by CT, 1 (3.6%) by MRI and 1 (3.6%) by coronary angiography (Table 3). TEE was performed in all cases to confirm the diagnosis before surgery. Coronary angiography was performed in patients with history of chest pain or those older than 45 years. The initial diagnostic imaging for establishing the diagnosis was reviewed and summarized in Table 3. Statistical analysis of data in Table 3 could not be performed due to sparse data for most of cells. Before 1995, most cases were diagnosed initially by M-mode echocardiography and TTE. After 1995, more than 97% of cases were first diagnosed by TTE or TEE. Before 1995, in 21.5% of cases, multiple imaging modalities were used to confirm the diagnosis and in 3.8% cases were diagnosed during operation, which was not reported again after 1995. New imaging techniques, such as CT and MRI, are used more frequently and are becoming more common as initial diagnostic tools to find myxoma. In contrast, cardiac angiography is rarely applied to diagnose myxoma since 1995.

All patients in the Current Group had surgery. One patient died during hospitalization. Average length of hospital stay was 11.2 days, and 4 patients were readmitted within 30 days. The most common perioperative morbidities were pleural effusion (42.8%) and pulmonary atelectasis (42.8%), followed by arrhythmia (25.0%) and anemia (25.0%). Twenty three patients received postoperative follow-up. The period of follow-up ranged from 5 months to 8 years. One patient died from congestive heart failure eight years after the procedure. No myxoma recurrence was observed in follow-up. Early perioperative mortality and long-term recurrence trends over different time periods in previous studies are summarized in Table 4. No significant difference was identified in either perioperative mortality (X²=3.7, p=0.155) or long-term recurrence (X²=0.7, p=0.754) between groups.

### Discussion

Myxomas are the most common cardiac tumor. They usually occur in middle age and are more common in females. In the Current Group, the average age of the patients and the frequency of females is slightly higher than previous reports. The average size of the tumor is much smaller in the Current Group than previous reports and continues a trend of decreasing size over time. One possible reason could be the wide application of new imaging tools leading to early diagnosis of asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Gender, No (%)</th>
<th>Mean age (y)</th>
<th>Pooled mean age (y)</th>
<th>Location, No (%)</th>
<th>Mean size (cm)</th>
<th>Pooled mean size (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male Female No age</td>
<td></td>
<td>left right other</td>
<td>No size</td>
<td>No size±SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>8(28.5) 20(71.5) 28 61.2 No</td>
<td>28 61.2±11.8</td>
<td>24(85.7) 4(14.3) 0(0.0)</td>
<td>28 3.3 No</td>
<td>28 3.3±1.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>179(36.5) 312(63.5) 453 46.7</td>
<td>251 47.2±12.4</td>
<td>362(84.7) 50(11.7) 15(3.5)</td>
<td>228 4.8 No</td>
<td>120 5.3±1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>510(34.6) 962(65.4) 1390 49.5</td>
<td>872 53.5±14.1</td>
<td>1188(83.6) 147(10.3) 86(6.1)</td>
<td>1081 4.9 No</td>
<td>317 4.4±2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 3</td>
<td>253(33.9) 493(66.1) 726 50.1</td>
<td>239 50.3±13.9</td>
<td>652(86.2) 64(8.5) 40(5.3)</td>
<td>61 5.3 No</td>
<td>11 6.6±3.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| a: p<0.720, Pearson Chi-Square test | b: mean of all cases in 64 articles | c: mean of cases where standard deviation reported | d: p=0.143, Pearson Chi-Square test | e: p<0.05, Tukey pairwise comparison to Current Group |

**Table 1:** Gender, age, location and size characteristics of cardiac myxoma by group.

**Table 2:** Clinical features of cardiac myxoma by group.

cases. It has been reported in several studies that about 20% of cardiac myxomas are asymptomatic and they are usually smaller than 4 cm [18, 19].

In the Current Group, 6 cases (21.4%) were asymptomatic at diagnosis. The average tumor size in these asymptomatic cases was only 2.2 cm, which was much smaller than the average tumor size (Table 1). Of these 6 cases, half of them were initially found by new imaging technique CT and MRI rather than TTE, which are generally not considered as the first line diagnostic tool for myxoma diagnosis (Table 3).

Although in some cases, thrombus or other occupying lesions may be misdiagnosed as myxoma, both TTE and TEE are reported to have a high sensitivity for myxoma diagnosis, 95 and up to 100%, respectively [20]. TTE is non-invasive, and can measure the size and shape, locate the attachment site and identify the mobility of the tumor. In cases of patients with poor transthoracic echocardiographic window, TEE will provide imaging with better quality. TEE is particularly helpful to evaluate the posterior left atrial wall, atrial septum, and right atrium, which often are not well displayed on TTE, to exclude the possibility of biatrial multiple tumors [21]. Furthermore, TEE can provide more information for surgical resection regarding tumor size, location, mobility, and attachment [20, 22, 23] (Table 3).

CT and MRI are not the first diagnostic tools for myxoma at this time, although more and more cases are diagnosed by these technologies. If TTE and TEE provide limited tissue characterization, confident distinction between thrombi, benign and malignant tumors can usually be detected by CT or MRI [24]. For example, prolapse through the mitral valve orifice on CT is a reliable discriminatory finding indicating myxoma [25], while absence of both first pass and delayed contrast enhancement on MRI is suggestive of thrombus [26]. Cardiac CT is also useful to detect metastases in suspected malignancies especially when coupled with 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) Positron Emission Tomography (PET). However, if a mass has a typical echocardiographic appearance and location of a left atrial myxoma, additional images with CT or MRI are unnecessary.

As shown in Table 3 and mentioned above, more and more cases are found by CT and MRI, even though they are not the first line of diagnostic tools for myxoma. One of the reasons is likely due to the significant advance of the techniques, which make them more sensitive to capture the intracardiac lesions. Another important reason is likely due to the overuse of diagnostic tools. A report by Iglehart indicated that, between 2000 and 2007, use of imaging studies grew faster than that of any other physician service in the Medicare population [27]. Another report by the influential group America’s Health Insurance Plans claimed that 20% to 50% of all “high-tech” imaging provides no useful information and may be unnecessary [28]. More specifically, CT order associated with common chest related symptom emergency room visits increased from 2.1% in 1997 to 1999 to 11.5% in 2005 to 2007, whereas the overall proportion of these visits associated with a clinically significant diagnosis decreased from 23.6% in 1997 to 1999 to 19.1% in 2005 to 2007 [15]. Studies about the overuse of TTE were also reported. Between 1999 and 2004, the use of TTE has increased by 10 percent each year in the United States, and 10-15 percent of TTE studies performed do not meet appropriate use criteria [29, 30]. Does the incidental or accidental finding of myxoma by CT or MRI or the application of CT or MRI in myxoma management improve outcome? We do not have the final answer for this question. However, we found that the increased

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imaging Technology</th>
<th>Current</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Goup 2</th>
<th>Group 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TTE/TEE</td>
<td>75.0(21)</td>
<td>97.0(355)</td>
<td>91.7(732)</td>
<td>46.9(225)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M mode</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>16.9(81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>17.8(5)</td>
<td>3(11)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>3.6(1)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0.5(4)</td>
<td>0.6(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadiac Cath*</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>2.3(18)</td>
<td>9.6(46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary Angio*b</td>
<td>3.6(1)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0.1(1)</td>
<td>0.4(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0.1(1)</td>
<td>3.8(18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combinedc</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>0(0)</td>
<td>4.9(39)</td>
<td>21.5(103)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a: cardiac catheterization  
b: coronary angiography  
c: multiple technologies combined

Table 3: Initial imaging technology for myxoma diagnosis by group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Deaths</th>
<th>Recurrence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1(4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>17(4.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>1062</td>
<td>28(2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 3</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>26(4.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a: p = 0.155, Pearson Chi-Square test  
b: p = 0.754, Pearson Chi-Square test

Table 4: Mortality and Recurrence of cardiac myxoma.
application of CT and MRI in myxoma diagnosis or management is not associated with decreased perioperative mortality or long-term recurrence.

There are several limitations for this study. First, the Current Group is of modest size, which may account for the age disparity from some previous studies. Second, the pooling of previously reported studies is inherently limited by publication and reporting biases and by lack of uniformity in data reporting.

Conclusion

In this study, the Current Group, when compared to contemporary historical groups, confirmed our suspicion that the proliferation of advanced imaging procedures during the mid-1990s and beyond has resulted in the identification of cardiac myxomas that are smaller and have fewer traditional symptoms. Meanwhile, surgical mortality and recurrence rates for myxoma have remained low, leaving little opportunity for improvement despite dramatic improvements in surgical mortality for other cardiac conditions [31].
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