



Editorial

Ready for New HIV Challenges in Post-ART Era?

Olimpia Longo*

In 90's the introduction of antiretroviral therapy for HIV treatment changed gradually HIV infection in a chronic disease. The consolidated use of highly active antiretroviral treatment (HAART) substantially affect HIV RNA plasma viremia levels, leading circulating virus below the current analytical cut off limits, and, in the major part of cases, to CD4+ T cells recovery. However, it is not able to eliminate HIV-1, which persists as a latent infection in anatomical and functional reservoirs, such as resting memory CD4+ T cells [1]. Moreover, HAART is unable to reduce or clock the persistent immune dysfunction, inflammation and coagulation abnormalities, which are commonly observed in HAART-treated patients and that are strongly predictors of risk for non-AIDS morbidity and mortality [2,3].

In the last years the cases such as the "Berlin patient" [4,5] and the "Mississippi Baby" [6] revived hopes for a cure against HIV both in researchers and patients, opening novel challenges both for the identification new interventions for virus eradication as well as the epistemological approach for designing and evaluating the efficacy of such interventions. These cases, although not conclusive, have revived the interest of researchers and scientific word to rethink the fight against HIV with a new point of view: a cure may be possible.

In the absence of an effective vaccine or other preventive therapy, the research against HIV/AIDS is moving now to looking for therapeutic interventions capable to generate a *cure* for HIV both eliminating the chronic symptoms and co-morbidities in infection without eliminate the virus (*functional cure*), and both eradicating virus from host with or without effective HAART (structural or sterilizing cure) [7].

In the current guidelines for clinical development of new HIV antiviral drugs, early studies, including short period of functional mono therapy followed by optimization of the treatment in treatment naïve subjects and confirmatory *head-to-head* studies (by "add-on" or "substitution" design) aimed at comparing the investigational product to the standard cART with direct antiviral properties [GUIDELINE ON THE CLINICAL DEVELOPMENT OF MEDICINAL PRODUCTS FOR THE TREATMENT OF HIV INFECTION Doc. Ref. EMEA/CPMP/EWP/633/02Revision 2, 2009] are foreseen. New drug efficacy is evaluated using generally accepted surrogate markers such as HIV RNA viral load and CD4+ T-cell counts [GUIDELINE ON THE CLINICAL DEVELOPMENT OF MEDICINAL PRODUCTS FOR THE TREATMENT OF HIV INFECTION Doc. Ref. EMEA/CPMP/EWP/633/02Revision 2, 2009]. The comparison with the standard antiviral therapy is performed measuring the capability of reducing HIV plasma viremia up to levels the limit of quantification of the validated RT PCR test and containing the immunological depletion measured by CD4+ T lymphocytes decay. Although relevant parameters for the monitoring of cART efficacy, the use of these outcomes in studies aimed at individuating benefits of treatment optimization and/or eradication with concomitant cART appears quite limited.

In the development of new therapeutics for functional or sterilizing cure of HIV, the identification and validation of novel efficacy biomarkers represent a major scientific and regulatory need. In the absence of robust surrogate markers, clinical studies of novel therapeutic agents having as objective HIV eradication (therapeutic immunizations or drugs) should directly demonstrate their effects on the persistence of virus not only in its circulating form in infected cells. The lonely detection of HIV RNA plasma viremia, which in effective cART quickly goes to levels below the limit of detection of assays currently in use, may not provide information on the persistence



Affiliation:

Ufficio Ricerca e Sperimentazione Clinica,
AIFA, Italy

***Corresponding author:**

Olimpia Longo,
Ufficio Ricerca e Sperimentazione
Clinica, AIFA, Italy.
E-mail: o.longo@aifa.gov.it

Citation: Longo O (2015) Ready for New HIV Challenges in Post-ART Era?. AIDS 1: 1-2

Received: Nov 06, 2015

Accepted: Nov 09, 2015

Published: Nov 17, 2015

Copyright: © 2015 Longo O. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.



of viral reservoir and the interruption of antiretroviral therapy backbone, is not advisable at the current state of knowledge [8-10]. In addition, the same cART backbone could be also essential, acting in synergy with the novel tested treatment.

Is the scientific word really ready for this new challenge? Which parameters may directly or not detect the persistence of HIV or HIV-unrelated morbidities? Detection of HIV gene/protein expression or ultra-sensitive HIV RNA plasma viremia is unable to reveal latent virus as well as immunological responses may persist years after virus exposition. Evaluation of blood HIV proviral DNA may represent a good solution, because of its role as a prognostic factor for therapy efficacy is known [11] and since it is already methodologically accepted as parameter for diagnosis of HIV in infants, where common assays for HIV (ELISA and a confirmatory WB, if appropriate) cannot be used due to the presence of persisting maternal HIV antibody against HIV proteins in the child up to 15-18 months of age [12].

A consensus for the evaluation of the efficacy of a functional cure may be also generated on the basis of available data on the major non-AIDS co-morbidities (such as cardio-vascular diseases, malignancies, or accelerated aging) observed also in successfully HAART-treated patients [2,13]. Epidemiological data of the same pathologies in HIV-uninfected subjects and the common use in medical practice of prognostic risk factors may be of help to both meliorate the management of HIV patient and to evaluate potential new interventions affecting these co-morbidities [14].

A not trivial question is demanded to HIV researchers, but "*guttacavatlapidem*" (Lucrezio)

References

1. Deeks SG, Phillips AN. HIV infection, antiretroviral treatment, ageing, and non-AIDS related morbidity (2009) *BMJ* 338: a3172.
2. Katlama C, Deeks SG, Autran B, Martinez-Picado J, J van Lunzen, et al. Barriers to a cure for HIV: new ways to target and eradicate HIV-1 reservoirs (2013) *Lancet* 381: 2109-2117.
3. SG Deeks, SR Lewin, DV Havlir. The end of AIDS: HIV infection as a chronic disease (2013) *Lancet* 382: 1525-1533.
4. Kristina Allers, Gero Hutter, Jorg Hofmann, Christoph Loddenkemper, Kathrin Rieger, et al. Evidence for the cure of HIV infection by CCR5/Delta32/Delta32 stem cell transplantation (2011) *Blood* 117:2791-2799.
5. Hutter G, Nowak D, Mossner M, Ganepola S, Mussig A, Allers K, et al. Long-term control of HIV by CCR5 Delta32/Delta32 stem-cell transplantation (2009) *N Engl J Med* 360:692-698.
6. Persaud D, Gay H, Ziemniak C, Chen YH, Piatak Jr M, Chun TW, et al. Absence of detectable HIV-1 viremia after treatment cessation in an infant (2013) *N Engl J Med*. 369:1828-1835.
7. Stuart Rennie, Mark Siedner, Joseph D Tucker, Keymanthri Moodley. The ethics of talking about 'HIV cure' (2015) *BMC Medical Ethics* 16:18
8. Alison J. Rodger, Rebecca Lodwick, Mauro Schechter, Steven Deeks, Janaki Amin, et al., Mortality in well controlled HIV in the continuous antiretroviral therapy arms of the SMART and ESPRIT trials compared with the general population (2013) *AIDS* 27:973-979.
9. Leonardo Oña, Roger D Kouyos, Michael Lachmann, Sebastian Bonhoeffer. On the role of resonance in drug failure under HIV treatment interruption (2013) *Theoretical Biology and Medical Modelling* 10:44.
10. El Sadr WM, Lundgren JD, Neaton JD, et al. CD4+ count-guided interruption of antiretroviral treatment (2006) *N Engl J Med* 355: 2283-2296.
11. Tsiara CG, Nikolopoulos GK, Bagos PG, Goujard C, Katzenstein TL et al. Impact of HIV Type 1 DNA levels on spontaneous disease progression: a meta-analysis (2012) *AIDS Research and Human Retroviruses* 28:366-373.
12. World Health Organization (2013) Clinical guidelines across the continuum of care: HIV diagnosis and ARV drugs for HIV prevention. Consolidated guidelines on the use of antiretroviral drugs for treating and preventing HIV infection.
13. Phillips A, Baker J, Lundgren J. Are antiretrovirals enough for people living with HIV? (2013) *Lancet* 382:1466-1467.
14. Ezzati M, Lopez AD, Rodgers A, Murray C.J.L. Comparative Quantification of Health Risks: The Global and Regional Burden of Disease Attributable to Selected Major Risk Factors (2004) World Health Organization.