Removal Torque Analyses of Two Self-drilling Orthodontic Temporary Anchorage Devices (TADs)
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Abstract
Stability of miniscrews or Temporary Anchorage Devices (TADs) is an essential factor for successful application in orthodontics. Miniscrews removal torque can be used as an indicator of miniscrews stability. The objective of this work was to compare removal torque between self-drilling tapered mini-screws and cylindrical miniscrews.

Materials and Methods: Two groups of TADs were inserted in the maxillae of orthodontic patients to provide stationary anchorage for front teeth retraction. Group 1: 20 tapered self-drilling miniscrews, Group 2: 20 cylindrical self-drilling miniscrew. Split mouth technique was used. All of the TADs were inserted parallel to the occlusal plane, between roots of the 2nd maxillary premolar and 1st maxillary molar. The TADs were immediately loaded with constant and continuous load using 8 mm long heavy short Nickel Titanium coil spring. The coil spring was stretched between the heads of the two types of TADs and crimpmable hooks that fixed on arch wire just distal to the anterior six maxillary teeth. After complete retraction of the anterior maxillary teeth, TADs were removed using torque adjustable screw-removal. At the moment of movement of the TAD the torque was recorded in Newton Centimeter (Ncm).

Results: Mann Whitney U Test resulted in a significant difference between the two groups, indicating that cylindrical TADs had significantly higher removal torque than tapered TADs (means were 3.20 Ncm ± 0.20 and 2.70 Ncm ± 0.10 respectively).

Conclusion: Physical properties have a considerable influence on the stability of the TADs. Cylindrical TADs are showed more mechanical interlock into the bone.
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Introduction
Contemporary orthodontics skeletal anchorage such as TADs (miniscrews), palatal implants or mini-plates has led to a paradigm shift in the field, particularly the stationary anchorage concept [1,2]. It has been advocated that miniscrews can provide orthodontists with the stationary anchorage latterly without any complications of loss of anchorage [3]. TADs, nowadays, has glory in the field of orthodontic practice and research because they possess several noticeable advantages including: ease of use, relatively low cost, no need for dental or extra-oral anchorage and possibility of immediate loading [4-7]. Introduction of these devices has broadened the spectrum of the orthodontics increasing the efficiency and decreasing pitfalls [3].

Nevertheless, the successful rate of miniscrews depends largely on their stability into the bone [2,8-11]. It has been advocated that the primary stability of mini-implants or miniscrews is the mechanical stability achieved immediately after insertion, which shows how much the screw is engaged or locked into the bone. This primary stability is manifested as a stable anchorage for the various clinical applications [12]. According to several authors’ primary stability and consequently success rates of TADs are influenced by quality and quantity of the host bone, surgical technique, and screw geometry. Immediate loading and anchorage demands mandate stationary miniscrews (TADs), in other words, stability of the TADs is an issue that must be considered [2,13,14]. The stability of mini-implants has been attributed to physical factors (device design and dimensions) and biological factors including the nature of the bone around the miniscrew, particularly bone density [15]. This study was done to compare the stability of tapered self-drilling TADs and cylindrical self-drilling TADs using removal torque resisting force as an indicator.

Materials and Methods

The Materials: The sample consisted of two groups. Group 1: 20 tapered self-drilling miniscrews (1.4 mm neck diameter and 1.3 mm diameter near the apex) (Figure 1a), Group 2: 20 cylindrical self-drilling miniscrews (1.4 mm neck diameter, 1.4 mm diameter near the apex) (Figure 1b).

Figure 1a: Photograph showing tapered miniscrew.
Subjects: 20 adults, 18-25 years old, healthy patients (13 females, 7 males), were randomly selected from the Outpatient Department (OPD) section of the department of orthodontics, university of Benghazi. All the subjects were upper first premolar extraction cases. Each subject received two TADs, one from each group, that one maxillary buccal side received a TAD of group 1 and the other side received another TAD of group 2. Allocation of which side would receive which type of TAD was a random process.

Figure 1b: Photograph showing cylindrical miniscrew.

Procedures: After swabbing the area with Alcohol for disinfection, and application of infiltration local anesthesia, drill-free TADs were one-step inserted in the designated sites. The insertion was made between roots of upper first premolar and upper first molar. Insertion was done using palm and thumb grip until the penetration of bone, then pen grasp grip was used to rotate the miniscrew till it was completely inserted, with only its head exposed in the oral cavity. Immediate, constant and continuous load was applied using 8 mm long, heavy short Nickel Titanium coil spring. It was stretched between the heads of the two of TADs (on the right and the left of the patient maxilla), and two hooks that cramped on the heavy arch wire (Figure 2). The load lasted for 18-24 weeks period, and then TADs were removed by using adjustable-torque screwdriver.

Figure 2: Split mouth, one buccal maxillary side received cylindrical TAD while the other side received tapered TAD.

Removal Torque Measurement

After the extraction spaces were closed by anterior teeth retraction, the miniscrews were removed in a counterclockwise direction using a torque screwdriver. This screwdriver has adjustable resisting torque force ranging from 0 Ncm to 5 Ncm. The amount of removal torque was indicated by the edge of the rotating handle on numeric scale. Starting with 0.5 Ncm resisting torque force TAD was unscrewed, if this amount of force was not enough to unscrew the TAD (this was noticed by a click sound from the clutches of the screwdriver, and the screwdriver rotates without movement of the screw), the force was incrementally increased by about 0.25 Kg/Cm till the TAD get unscrewed. This torque force was considered as the removal torque force for the TAD.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out using IBM SPSS statistics 22. Mann Whitney U test was run also to compare the removal torque measurements for each TADs type.

Results

The results revealed significant difference (p<0.01) between the mean removal torque of the tapered TADs, which was 0.27 Ncm ± 0.10 and the mean removal torque of the cylindrical TADs, which was 3.20 Ncm ± 0.20 (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Screw Type</th>
<th>Cylindrical</th>
<th>Tapered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>± S.D</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removal torque</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p= Probability for the effect of screw type **=Significant at p ≤ 0.01

Table 1: The descriptive analysis data of the removal torque of both miniscrew types.

Discussion

Stability of micro-implants (TADs) is affected by several factors such as the surrounding bone density, and amount of mechanical interlock between the screw and the bone and the bone and vice versa! However, they conclude that yet the mechanical interlocking plays a major role stability of miniscrews [33]. Stability of TADs is crucial for successful orthodontic use of miniscrews, since miniscrews are solely used for stationary anchorage. Stationary anchorage, in essence is that one when only wanted tooth movement is involved in the orthodontic treatment [34]. For this reason, mechanical interlocking of the TADs into the bone must be insured, and in turn the mechanical interlocking is largely influenced by the topographical features of TADs [35]. The higher removal torques the more mechanical engagement between the TAD and the bone and vice versa. Hong et al. emphasized that site of insertion and physical properties of the TADs plays a major role in the success rate orthodontic treatment using TADs, while gender makes no difference [29,31].

Mann Whitney U Test resulted in a significant difference between the two groups. The cylindrical design TADs had higher removal torque (mean=3.20 Ncm ± 0.20) compared to the tapered TADs (mean=2.70 Ncm ± 0.10); this owed to difference in the topographical differences between the two types of TADs [36]. While Kim et al. concluded that no difference between the two types of TADs in regards with removal torque values, nevertheless Kim and his colleagues had used short TADs [37]. In this study the cylindrical miniscrew resisted removal all over the entire length of its shaft and threads, however in tapered miniscrews, the resistance to removal was only at first and at the coronal part of the shaft of miniscrews, and quickly declines, this finding also revealed by Drago and Del Castillo, and Cha et al. [26,28].

However the high removal torque of cylindrical miniscrew compared to tapered miniscrew as in the present study was mostly evident in long length miniscrews (10 mm) rather than short length screws (6, 7 mm), and this might be due to the resistance of bone to screw removal that was evident in small surface area as reported by Chen et al. [29]. On the other hand Kim et al. found Maximum Removal Torque (MRT) in the conical group miniscrews compared to cylindrical ones. This was...
not the case of the present study where living bone was used and not saw bones, which lack remodeling with the interface of miniscrews by time. Kim et al. concluded that physical properties considerably effects the stability of TADs into the bone, where tapered TADs showed lower removal torque [30,38].

Conclusions

Stability of miniscrews or Temporary Anchorage Devices must be ensured in advance for a successful orthodontic treatment plan. Physical properties such as taper of the TADs significantly affect the removal torque. The removal torque of TADs is a reliable indicator of stability. Cylindrical TADs are more stable in the bone since they have higher removal torque than that of tapered type.
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